UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AM	ERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number 11-cr-00494-LHK
v.		THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY
JOSE LUIS GUERRERO	, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION
		<u>HEARING</u>
T	the Deil Deform Act 18 II	S.C. § 3142, 3143(a) and Criminal Rule 32.1(a)(b), a detention hearing
In accordance with	Defendant was present rer	presented by his attorney Allen Schwartz. The United States was
represented by Assistant U.	Defendant was present, rep	described by his automosy thion somewhat 2. The observe states
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS A		
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS A	rrlicable is charged with an offense.	described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a major offense described	in 18 II S C & 3142(f)(1) v	while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a
neriod of not more than five	(5) years has elansed since	the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	(b) yours has orapsed since	
This establishes a t	ebuttable presumption that	no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the		
/ / There is proba	ble cause based upon (the i	ndictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	ioio vaase casee apen (, (
A fe	or which a maximum term of	of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
	01 et seq., § 951 et seq., or	
B u	nder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): us	e of a firearm during the commission of a felony.
This establishes a	rebuttable presumption that	no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendan	t as required and the safety	of the community.
/ X / No presumpt	ion applies but defendant h	as burden of offering clear and convincing evidence that he is not a flight
risk or a danger to the com	munity.	
/ X / The defenda	int has not come forward wi	th sufficient evidence to meet his burden, and he therefore will be ordered
detained.		
/ / The defendan	t has come forward with evi	idence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .
Thus, the burden of	of proof shifts back to the U	nited States.
PART III. PROOF (WHERI	PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTE	D OR INAPPLICABLE)
/ / The United St	tates has proved to a prepon	derance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appear	arance of the defendant as re	equired, AND/OR
/ / The United St	tates has proved by clear an	d convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the safet	y of any other person and th	e community.
PART IV. WRITTEN FIND	INGS OF FACT AND STATEM	MENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION
		ors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as fol	llows:	t 1 turn Calling
		ave waived written findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS RE	GARDING DETENTION	Atternation Congress or his designated representative for confinement in a
The defendant is com	mitted to the custody of the	Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
corrections facility separate to	the extent practicable from	persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the
The defendant shall be afforded	ed a reasonable opportunity	ernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
United States or on the reques	t of an autorney for the Gov	an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
defendant to the United States	Marshai for the purpose of	an appearance in connection with a coast processing.
1 .		
Details 11 1st		\'\\\
Dated: 4 16 8		HOWARD R LLOYD
1 1		TV 1: 10: () Marietante Tudos

United States Magistrate Judge